arXiv:1303.0548v1 [math.DG] 3 Mar 2013

The mixed scalar curvature flow and harmonic foliations

Vladimir Rovenski[*] and Leonid Zelenko[†]
Mathematical Department, University of Haifa

Abstract

A flow of metrics, g_t, on a manifold is a solution of a differential equation $\partial_t g = S(g)$, where a geometric functional $S(g)$ is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor usually related to some kind of curvature. The mixed sectional curvature of a foliated manifold regulates the deviation of leaves along the leaf geodesics. We introduce and study the flow of metrics on a foliation, whose velocity along the orthogonal distribution is proportional to the mixed scalar curvature, $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\rm mix}$. The flow is used to examine the question: Which foliations admit a metric with a given property of $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\rm mix}$ (e.g., positive or negative)? We observe that the flow preserves harmonicity of foliations and yields the Burgers type equation along the leaves for the mean curvature vector H of orthogonal distribution. If H is leaf-wise conservative, then its potential obeys the non-linear Schrödinger heat equation. Under certain conditions the asymptotic behavior of its solutions is the same as of the linear equation, when the Burgers equation for H has a single-point global attractor. The flow admits a unique global solution g_t, whose $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\rm mix}$ converges exponentially to a leaf-wise constant. The metrics are smooth on M when all leaves are compact and have finite holonomy group. Using rescaling along D one may provide convergence to metrics with $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\rm mix}$ as positive so negative.

Keywords: foliation; flow of metrics; conformal; mixed scalar curvature; mean curvature; Burgers equation; non-linear Schrödinger heat equation.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) Primary 53C12; Secondary 53C44

Introduction

1. Geometry of foliations. Let M^{n+p} be a connected closed manifold (i.e., compact without a boundary), endowed with a p-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., a partition into submanifolds (called leaves) of the same dimension p. We have the g-orthogonal decomposition $TM = D_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus D$, where the distribution $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Denote by $(\,\cdot\,)^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,)^{\perp}$ projections onto $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ and D, respectively. The second fundamental tensor and the mean curvature vector field of $\mathcal{F}$ are given by

$$h_{\mathcal{F}}(X,Y) := (1/2)\,(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)^{\perp}, \qquad H_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathrm{Tr}_g\, h \qquad (X,Y \in D_{\mathcal{F}}),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g. A Riemannian manifold (M,g) may admit many kinds of geometrically interesting foliations. Harmonic and totally geodesic foliations are among these kinds that enjoyed a lot of interest and investigation of many geometers (see survey in [8]). A foliation with vanishing mean curvature of the leaves is called *harmonic*. A foliation is *totally geodesic* if the leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds (i.e., the second fundamental form of the leaves vanishes). The simple examples of geodesic foliations are parallel circles or winding lines on a flat torus, and a Hopf field of great circles on the sphere S^3. The second fundamental tensor and the integrability tensor of D are given by

$$h(X,Y) := (1/2)\,(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)^{\mathcal{F}}, \quad T(X,Y) := (1/2)\,[X,\,Y]^{\mathcal{F}} \quad (X,Y \in D). \qquad (1)$$

---

[*] E-mail: rovenski@math.haifa.ac.il. Supported by the Marie-Curie actions grant EU-FP7-P-2010-RG, No. 276919.
[†] E-mail: zelenko@math.haifa.ac.il

The mean curvature vector of D is given by $H = \operatorname{Tr}_g h$. A foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be *transversely harmonic*, or *Riemannian*, if, respectively, $H = 0$, or $h = 0$. *Conformal* foliations, i.e., $h = (1/n)\, H \cdot g_{|D}$, were introduced by Vaisman [14] as foliations admitting a transversal conformal structure. Such foliations extend the class of Riemannian foliations.

One of the principal problems of geometry of foliations reads as follows, see [11]:

Given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a manifold M and a geometric property (P), does there exist a Riemannian metric g on M such that $\mathcal{F}$ enjoys (P) with respect to g?

Such problems of the existence and classification of metrics on foliations (first posed explicitly by H. Gluck for geodesic foliations) have been studied intensively by many geometers in the 1970's. A foliation is *geometrically taut*, if there is a Riemannian metric making $\mathcal{F}$ harmonic. H. Rummler characterized such foliations by existence of an $\mathcal{F}$-closed p-form on M that is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$. D. Sullivan provided a *topological tautness* condition for for geometric tautness. By the Novikov Theorem (see [3]) and Sullivan's results, the sphere S^3 has no 2-dimensional taut foliations. In recent decades, several tools for proving results of this sort have been developed. Among them, one may find Sullivan's *foliated cycles* and new *integral formulae*, see [11, Part 1], [15] etc.

2. The mixed scalar curvature. There are three kinds of Riemannian curvature for a foliation: tangential, transversal, and mixed (a plane that contains a tangent vector to the foliation and a vector orthogonal to it is said to be mixed). The geometrical sense of the mixed curvature follows from the fact that for a totally geodesic foliation, certain components of the curvature tensor, see [8]. regulate the deviation of leaves along the leaf geodesics. In general relativity, the *geodesic deviation equation* is an equation involving the Riemann curvature tensor, which measures the change in separation of neighboring geodesics or, equivalently, the tidal force experienced by a rigid body moving along a geodesic. In the language of mechanics it measures the rate of relative acceleration of two particles moving forward on neighboring geodesics.

The *mixed scalar curvature* is the following function, see [8, 11, 15]:

$$\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) = \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{n} \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{p} R(\varepsilon_\alpha, e_i, \varepsilon_\alpha, e_i),$$

where $\{e_i,\, \varepsilon_\alpha\}_{i\le n,\, \alpha\le p}$ is a local orthonormal frame on TM adapted to D and $D_{\mathcal{F}}$. If a distribution either D or $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is one-dimensional and a unit vector field N is tangent to it, then the mixed scalar curvature is simply the Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}_{\,g}(N,N)$. On a foliated surface (M^2, g) this coincides with the gaussian curvature: $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) = \mathrm{Ric}_{\,g}(N,N) = K(g)$. Recall the formula, see [15]:

$$\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(g) = \operatorname{div}(H + H_{\mathcal{F}}) + |H|^2 + \|T\|^2 - \|h\|^2 + |H_{\mathcal{F}}|^2 - \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2. \tag{2}$$

Integrating (2) over a closed manifold and using the Divergence Theorem, we obtain the integral formula with the total $\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(g)$. Formula (2) yields decomposition criteria for foliated manifolds under the constraints on the sign of $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g)$, see [15] and a survey in [8].

Example 1. For any $n \ge 2$ and $p \ge 1$ there exists a fibre bundle with a closed $(n+p)$-dimensional total space and compact p-dimensional totally geodesic fibers, having constant mixed scalar curvature. To show this, consider the Hopf fibration $\tilde\pi : S^3 \to S^2$ of a unit sphere S^3 by great circles (closed geodesics). Let $(\tilde F, g_1)$ and $(\tilde B, g_2)$ be closed Riemannian manifolds with dimensions, respectively, $p-1$ and $n-2$. Let $M = \tilde F \times S^3 \times \tilde B$ has the metric product $g = g_1 \times g_2$. Then $\pi : M \to S^2 \times \tilde B$ is a fibration with a totally geodesic fiber $\tilde F \times S^1$. Certainly, $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) \equiv 2$.

One may ask the question: *Which foliations admit a metric with a given property of* $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}$ *(e.g., positive or negative)*?

3. Flows of metrics on foliations. We shall examine the question using evolution equations. A *flow of metrics* on a manifold is a solution g_t of a differential equation $\partial_t g = S(g)$, where the geometric functional $S(g)$ is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor usually related to some kind of curvature. This corresponds to a dynamical system in the infinite-dimensional space of all appropriate geometric structures on a given manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(D,\, D_{\mathcal{F}})$ the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on M such that $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is orthogonal to D. Elements of $\mathcal{M}(D,\, D_{\mathcal{F}})$ are called *adapted metrics* to the pair $(D, D_{\mathcal{F}})$. The notion of the *D-truncated* $(r, 2)$-tensor field $\hat S$ (where $r = 0, 1$) will be helpful: $\hat S(X_1, X_2) = S(X_1^\perp, X_2^\perp)$. The *$D$-truncated metric tensor* $\hat g$ is given by $\hat g(X_1, X_2) = g(X_1, X_2)$ and $\hat g(Y, \cdot) = 0$ for all $X_i \in D,\ Y \in D_{\mathcal{F}}$. For flows of *$D$-conformal* adapted metrics we have $\hat S(g) = s(g)\, \hat g$, where $s(g)$ is a smooth function on the space of metrics on M.

Rovenski and Walczak [11] (see also [9]) studied flows of metrics that depend on the extrinsic geometry of codimension-one foliations, and posed the question:

Given a geometric property (P), can one find an $\mathcal{F}$-truncated flow $\partial_t g = \hat S(g)$ on a foliation $(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that the solution metrics g_t $(t \ge 0)$ converge to a metric for which $\mathcal{F}$ enjoys (P)?

Rovenski and Wolak [12] studied D-conformal flows of metrics on a foliation in order to prescribe the mean curvature vector H of D. Recently, authors [10] studied D-conformal flows of metrics on a totally geodesic foliation. In aim to prescribe the sign of $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g)$, we shall study the following *mixed scalar curvature flow* of metrics g_t:

$$\partial_t g = -2\,(\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) - \Phi)\, \hat g. \tag{3}$$

Here $\Phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a leaf-wise constant function, its value is clarified in what follows. By Lemma 2, the flow (3) preserves harmonic (in particular, totally geodesic) foliations.

Example 2. (a) Let (M^2, g_0) be a surface of Gaussian curvature K, endowed with a unit geodesic vector field N. Taking $\Phi = 0$, we reduce (3) to the following form:

$$\partial_t g = -2\, K(g)\, \hat g. \tag{4}$$

This PDE looks like the Ricci flow on surfaces, but its RHS includes the truncated metric $\hat g$ instead of g. Using the formula $N(k) = k^2 + K$ for the geodesic curvature of orthogonal to N curves, (4) yields the Burgers equation $\partial_t k + N(k^2) = N(N(k))$, see [10]. For a surface of revolution $M^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with standard parametrization $r = [\rho(x)\cos\theta,\ \rho(x)\sin\theta,\ h(x)]$ $(0 \le x \le l,\ |\theta| \le \pi)$, where $\rho \ge 0$ and $(\rho')^2 + (h')^2 = 1$, the profile curves $\theta = \mathrm{const}$ are geodesics tangent to N. Since $k = -N(\log\rho)$, we have $\partial_t \rho = N(N(\rho))$, this provides geometrical interpretation of the classical relation (i.e., Cole-Hopf transformation) between the Burgers and the heat equations.

(b) For the Hopf fibration $\pi : (S^{\,2m+1}, g_{\mathrm{can}}) \to \mathbb{C}P^m$ of a unit sphere with fiber S^1, the orthogonal distribution D is non-integrable while $h = 0$. By (2), $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) = 2\,m$. Thus, g_{can} on $S^{\,2m+1}$ is a fixed point of the flow (3) with $\Phi = 2m$.

The basic question that we address in the paper is the following: *Given a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a harmonic foliation $\mathcal{F}$, when do solution metrics g_t of (3) converge to the limit metric $\bar g$ such that $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(\bar g)$ is positive or negative*?

4. The nonlinear Schrödinger heat equation. The flow (3) provides the Burgers equation for the mean curvature vector H of D, similarly to the flow (4) in Example 2(a). If H is leaf-wise conservative, then the potential obeys the non-linear Schrödinger heat equation, see Proposition 2. Under certain conditions the asymptotic dynamics of its solutions is the same as of the linear Schrödinger heat equation, when the corresponding Burgers type equation for H has a single-point global attractor. (The case of totally geodesic $\mathcal{F}$ with integrable D yields the forced Burgers equation for H, the asymptotic behavior of solutions and corresponding linear Schrödinger heat equation have been studied in [10]). The flow admits a unique global solution g_t, whose $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}$ converges exponentially to a leaf-wise constant. The metrics are smooth on M when all leaves are compact and have finite holonomy group. Using rescaling along D one may provide convergence to metrics with $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}$ as positive so negative.

5. The structure of the paper. In Introduction we pose the question about prescribing the mixed scalar curvature of a foliation and define the flow of metrics depending on this kind of curvature. Sections 1 and 2 contain main results and their proofs. These use results of Section 3 about the non-linear Schrödinger heat equation on a closed manifold. Throughout the paper everything (manifolds, foliations, etc.) is assumed to be smooth (i.e., C^∞-differentiable) and oriented. We also assume that all the leaves of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ are compact minimal submanifolds.

1 Main results

An important step in the study of evolutionary PDEs is to show short-time existence/uniqueness.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a harmonic foliation on a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g_0). Then the linearization of (3) is the leaf-wise parabolic PDE, hence (3) has a unique solution g_t defined on a positive time interval $[0, t_0)$ and smooth on the leaves.*

We denote $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} f := (\nabla f)^{\mathcal{F}}$. Given a vector field X and a function ϕ on M, define the functions using the leaf-wise derivatives: the divergence $\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} g(\nabla_\alpha X, \varepsilon_\alpha)$ and the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\,\phi = \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}}(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}\phi)$. Notice that $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}, \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ (i.e., along the leaves) are t-independent.

Based on the "linear algebra" inequality $n\,\|h\,\|^2 \geq |H|^2$ with the equality when D is totally umbilical, we introduce the following function (a measure of "non-umbilicity" of D):

$$\beta_D := n^{-2}\big(n\,\|h\,\|^2 - |H|^2\big) \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

For $p = 1$, we have $\beta_D = n^{-2}\sum_{i<j}(k_i - k_j)^2$, where k_i are the principal curvatures of D.

The Schrödinger operator is central to all of quantum mechanics. By Proposition 3 (in Section 2.2), the flow of metrics (3) preserves the leaf-wise Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H}$, given by

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = -\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\,u - \beta_D\,u. \tag{6}$$

The spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite sequence of isolated real eigenvalues $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_j \leq \ldots$ (leaf-wise constant functions) counting their multiplicities, and $\lim_{j\to\infty}\lambda_j = \infty$. One may fix in L_2 an orthonormal basis of corresponding eigenfunctions $\{e_j\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}(e_j) = \lambda_j e_j$.

Remark 1. If the leaf $F(x)$ through $x \in M$ is compact then $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ and the eigenfunction e_0 (called the *ground state*) for the least eigenvalue λ_0 may be chosen positive, see Proposition 4.

The so called *fundamental gap* $\lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ of $\mathcal{H}$ has interesting mathematical and physical implications (e.g., in refinements of Poincaré inequality and a priori estimates, it also can be used to control the rate of convergence of numerical methods of computation), see survey in [1].

We shall say that a smooth function $f(t, x)$ on $(0, \infty) \times F$ converges to $\bar{f}(x)$ as $t \to \infty$ in C^∞, if it converges in C^k-norm for any $k \geq 0$. It converges *exponentially fast* if there exists $\omega > 0$ (called the *exponential rate*) such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{\omega\,t}\|f(t,\cdot) - \bar{f}\|_{C^k} = 0$ for any $k \geq 0$.

Define a function $\Psi := u_0^4\,(\|T\|^2_{g_0} + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_{g_0})$ and the domain $U = \{x \in M : \|T\|^2_{g_0} + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_{g_0} > 0\}$.

Proposition 2. *Let a family of metrics g_t $(0 \leq t < t_0)$ solves (3) on a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a harmonic for g_0 and $H_0 = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u_0)$ for a function $u_0 > 0$. Then*

$$\partial_t H + \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} g(H, H) = n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} H) - n^2\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}\beta_D + n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\|T\|^2_g + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_g), \tag{7}$$

moreover, $H_t = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u)$ for a positive function $u : M \times [0, t_0)$.

(i) If $U = M$ then $u = \Psi^{1/4}(\|T\|^2_{g_t} + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_{g_t})^{-1/4}$ and the non-linear PDE is satisfied

$$(1/n)\partial_t u = \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\,u + (\beta_D + \Phi/n)\,u - (\Psi/n)\,u^{-3}, \qquad u(\,\cdot\,, 0) = u_0. \tag{8}$$

(ii) If $U = \emptyset$ then (7) reads as a forced Burgers equation

$$\partial_t H + \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} g(H, H) = n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} H) - n^2\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}\beta_D \tag{9}$$

and the function u (i.e., the potential for H) may be chosen as a solution of the linear PDE

$$(1/n)\,\partial_t u = \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\,u + \beta_D\,u, \qquad u(\,\cdot\,, 0) = u_0. \tag{10}$$

Under certain conditions (9) has a single-point global exponential attractor. Kirsch-Simon [6] studied the Burgers PDE on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and proved the polynomial convergence of a solution.

Based on Proposition 2(ii) and methods of [10], we obtain the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a totally geodesic compact foliation with integrable orthogonal distribution on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_0), and $H_0 = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u_0)$ for a function $u_0 > 0$. Then (3) has a unique global solution g_t $(t \geq 0)$ smooth on the leaves. If $\Phi = n\,\lambda_0$ then, as $t \to \infty$, the metrics g_t converge in C^∞ with the exponential rate $n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)$ to the limit metric $\bar{g}$ and*

$$\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(\bar{g}) = n\lambda_0, \qquad \bar{H} = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log e_0).$$

Moreover, if the leaves have finite holonomy group, then all g_t and $\bar{g}$ are smooth on M.

Example 3. a) Let $(M, g_t) = M_1 \times_{f_t} M_2$ be a family of twisted products, i.e. the manifold $M = M_1 \times M_2$ with the metrics $g_t = f_t^2 g_1 + g_2$ where $f_t \in C^\infty(M_1 \times M_2)$ are positive functions. The submanifolds $\{x\} \times M_2$ of a twisted product are totally geodesic, while $M_1 \times \{y\}$ are totally umbilical with the mean curvature vector $H_t = -\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log f_t)$, see [7]. Hence twisted products provide example with leaf-wise conservative H_0. The above metrics g_t solve (3) with $\Phi = 0$ if and only if the warping function obeys the heat equation $\frac{1}{n}\,\partial_t f = \Delta_{\mathcal{F}} f$. The conditions above yield the Burgers type equation for H (see (9) with $\beta_D = 0$)

$$\partial_t H + \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} g(H, H) = n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} H). \tag{11}$$

If M_1 and M_2 are closed then g_t $(t \geq 0)$ converge as $t \to \infty$ in C^∞ with the exponential rate λ_1 to the metric $\bar{g}$ of the product $(M_1, \bar{f}^{\,2} g_1) \times (M_2, g_2)$, where $\bar{f}(x) = \int_{M_2} f(0, x, y)\,\mathrm{d}y_g$, see [10].

b) Let (M^2, g_0) be a two-dimensional torus with Gaussian curvature K, foliated by closed geodesics with a unit tangent vector field N. Suppose that the geodesic curvature k of orthogonal (to the leaves) curves obeys $k = N(\psi_0)$ for a smooth function ψ_0 on M^2. The operator (6) coincides with the leaf-wise Laplacian $-\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$, hence $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $e_0 = \mathrm{const}$. We also have $\Psi = T = \beta_D = 0$. By Theorem 1, the flow of metrics (4) on M^2 admits a unique solution g_t $(t \geq 0)$ converging as $t \to \infty$ to a flat metric, and the leaves compose a rational linear foliation on the torus.

The central result of the work is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold endowed with a harmonic compact foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $U = M$, $H_0 = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u_0)$ for a function $u_0 > 0$, and*

$$\Phi > -n\,\beta_D, \qquad |n\lambda_0 + \Phi| \geq \max_F(\|T\|^2_{g_0} + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_{g_0})\big(\max_F(u_0/e_0)\,/\,\min_F(u_0/e_0)\big)^4. \tag{12}$$

Then (3) admits a unique global solution $g_t = g_t^\perp + \hat{g}$ $(t \geq 0)$ smooth on any leaf F, moreover, if all leaves have finite holonomy group, then g_t are smooth on M. As $t \to \infty$, we have

$$\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(g_t) \to n\lambda_0, \qquad H_t \to -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log e_0), \qquad \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{g_t} \to 0,$$

and convergence in C^∞ has the exponential rate $n\,\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0,\, \min\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0,\, 4\,|\lambda_0 + \Phi/n|\})$.

Corollary 1. *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold endowed with a totally geodesic compact foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $U = M$, $H_0 = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u_0)$ for a function $u_0 > 0$ and*

$$\Phi > -n\,\beta_D, \qquad |n\lambda_0 + \Phi| \geq \max_F \|T\|^2_{g_0}\big(\max_F(u_0/e_0)\,/\,\min_F(u_0/e_0)\big)^4. \tag{13}$$

Then the claim of Theorem 2 holds.

Let u obeys (8) on a leaf F. Define, see Section 3, $\tilde{u}_0 = u_0^0 + \int_0^\infty q_0(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau$ where $u_0^0 = \int_F u_0\, e_0\,\mathrm{dx}$ and $q_0(\tau) = -e^{(\lambda_0 + \Phi/n)\tau}\int_F (\Psi/n)\, u^{-3} e_0\,\mathrm{dx}$.

Corollary 2. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold endowed with a harmonic compact foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $U = M$ and $H = -n\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u_0)$ for a function $u_0 > 0$.*
(i) *If $\lambda_0 < 0$ then there exists D-conformal to g metric $\bar g$ with $\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(\bar g) < 0$.*
(ii) *If $\lambda_0 > -\frac{1}{n}(\frac{u_0}{\bar u_0})^4(\|T\|^2_g + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_g)$ then there exists D-conformal to g metric $\bar g$ with $\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(\bar g) > 0$.*

We consider applications to a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a unit vector field N (i.e., $p = 1$ or $n = 1$). In this case, $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g)$ is the Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}_g(N, N)$ in the N-direction.

Case $p = 1$. Let N be a unit tangent to a foliation by closed geodesics. Denote by $\tau_1 = \mathrm{Tr}_g\, h$ the mean curvature of the orthogonal (to N) distribution D. We have $\|h_{\mathcal{F}}\| = \|H_{\mathcal{F}}\|$, and (22) reads as

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\,g}(N, N) = \|T\|^2_g + N(\tau_1) - (1/n)\,\tau_1^2 - n\,\beta_D. \tag{14}$$

Suppose the condition $\tau_1 = -n\,N(\log u_0)$ for a smooth function $u_0 > 0$ on M. Let the metric evolves as

$$\partial_t g = -2\,(\mathrm{Ric}_{\,g}(N, N) - \Phi)\,\hat g, \tag{15}$$

see (3), then τ_1 obeys the PDE along N-curves, see (7),

$$\partial_t\tau_1 + N(\tau_1^2) = n\,N(N(\tau_1)) - n^2\,N(\beta_D) + n\,N(\|T\|^2_g). \tag{16}$$

If D is integrable, then $\tau_1 = -n\,N(\log u)$, where the function $u(\,\cdot\,, t) > 0$ may be chosen as a solution of the linear Schrödinger heat equation, see (10),

$$(1/n)\partial_t u = N(N(u)) + \beta_D\, u, \quad u(\,\cdot\,, 0) = u_0. \tag{17}$$

By Theorem 1, the flow (15) admits a unique global solution g_t $(t \ge 0)$. We have convergence as $t \to \infty$ of $g_t \to \bar g$, $\tau_1 \to -n\,N(\log e_0)$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_{\,g_t}(N, N) \to n\lambda_0$ with the exponential rate $n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)$. If the foliation by N-curves has finite holonomy group then the metrics g_t and $\bar g$ are smooth on M.

If D is nowhere integrable, then also $\tau_1 = -n\,N(\log u)$, where the function $u = \Psi^{1/4}\|T\|^{-1/2}_{g_t}$ (with $\Psi := u_0^4\,\|T\|^2_{g_0} > 0$) solves the non-linear Schrödinger heat equation, see (8),

$$(1/n)\partial_t u = N(N(u)) + (\beta_D + \Phi/n)\,u - (\Psi/n)\,u^{-3}, \quad u(\,\cdot\,, 0) = u_0. \tag{18}$$

If conditions (13) are satisfied, by Corollary 1, the flow (15) admits a unique global solution g_t $(t \ge 0)$. We have convergence as $t \to \infty$ of functions $\tau_1 \to -n\,N(\log e_0)$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_{\,g_t}(N, N) \to n\lambda_0$ with the exponential rate $n\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0, 4\,|\lambda_0 + \Phi/n|\})$. If the foliation by N-curves has finite holonomy group then the metrics g_t are smooth on M. By Corollary 2,

(i) If $\lambda_0 < 0$ then there exists D-conformal to g metric $\bar g$ such that $\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\bar g}(N, N) < 0$.

(ii) If $\lambda_0 > -\frac{1}{n}(\frac{u_0}{\bar u_0})^4\|T\|^2_g$ then there exists D-conformal to g metric $\bar g$ such that $\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\bar g}(N, N) > 0$.

Case $n = 1$. Let N be orthogonal to a compact harmonic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension one. Then $T = \beta_D = H_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$, and (22) reads as

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\,g}(N, N) = \mathrm{div}_{\mathcal{F}}\, H - g(H, H) + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_g. \tag{19}$$

Suppose the condition $H_0 = -\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u_0)$ for a smooth function $u_0 > 0$ on M.

If $h_{\mathcal{F}} \ne 0$ (general case) then $H = -\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u)$ where, see (8),

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\, u + (\beta_D + \Phi)\,u - \Psi\,u^{-3}, \qquad u(\,\cdot\,, 0) = u_0.$$

If the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\Phi > -n\,\beta_D, \qquad |n\lambda_0 + \Phi| \ge \max_F \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_{g_0}\big(\max_F(u_0/e_0)\,/\,\min_F(u_0/e_0)\big)^4,$$

by Theorem 2, the flow (15) admits a unique global solution g_t $(t \ge 0)$. As $t \to \infty$, we have convergence with the exponential rate α for any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0, 4\,|\lambda_0 + \Phi|\})$

$$H \to -\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log e_0), \quad \mathrm{Ric}_{\,g_t}(N, N) \to \lambda_0, \quad \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{\,g_t} \to 0.$$

If the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has finite holonomy group then the metrics g_t are smooth on M. By Corollary 2,

(i) If $\lambda_0 < 0$ then there exists D-conformal to g metric $\bar g$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar g}(N,N) < 0$.

(ii) If $\lambda_0 > -(\frac{u_0}{\bar u_0})^4 \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|_g^2$ then there exists D-conformal to g metric $\bar g$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar g}(N,N) > 0$.

In case of totally geodesic foliation $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $h_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv 0$, the operator (6) coincides with $-\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ (hence, $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $e_0 = \mathrm{const}$), and (22) reads as

$$\operatorname{Ric}_g(N,N) = \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} H - g(H,H). \tag{20}$$

Let the metric evolves by (15) with $\Phi = 0$. By Proposition 2, H obeys the homogeneous Burgers equation (11) with $n = 1$. Suppose that the curvature vector H of N-curves is leaf-wise conservative. Substituting $H = -\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(\log u)$ we find that the function $u > 0$ obeys the heat equation $\partial_t u = \Delta_{\mathcal{F}} u$. The global solution of above PDE on the leaves obeys $\bar u := \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,x) = \int_{F_x} u_0(x)\,\mathrm{dx}\,/\mathrm{Vol}(F_x, g)$. Since $e_0 = \mathrm{const}$, we have $\bar H = \lim_{t\to\infty} H(t,\cdot) = 0$. Then $\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar g}(N,N) = 0$, where $\bar g = \lim_{t\to\infty} g_t$.

2 Proof of main results

2.1 Preliminaries

The *Levi-Civita connection* ∇ of a metric g on M is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= X(g(Y,Z)) + Y(g(X,Z)) - Z(g(X,Y)) \\ &+ g([X,Y],Z) - g([X,Z],Y) - g([Y,Z],X) \qquad (X,Y,Z \in TM). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The *co-nullity operator* is defined by $C_N(X) = -(\nabla_X N)^\perp$, for $X \in D$, $N \in D_{\mathcal{F}}$. One may decompose C into symmetric and skew-symmetric parts as $C_N = A_N + T_N^\sharp$. The Weingarten operator A_N of D and the operator $T_N^\sharp$ are related with tensors h and T, see (1), by

$$g(A_N(X),\,Y) = g(h(X,Y),\;N), \quad g(T_N^\sharp(X),\,Y) = g(T(X,Y),\;N), \quad X,Y \in D.$$

Next lemma represents $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g)$ in a more convenient than (2) form. It shows that (3) can be reduced to the leaf-wise evolution equation (with the space derivatives along $\mathcal{F}$ only).

Lemma 1 (see also [10]). *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a harmonic foliation on (M,g). Then*

$$\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) = \|T\|_g^2 + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|_g^2 + \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} H - g(H,H)/n - n\,\beta_D. \tag{22}$$

The notion of *holonomy* uses that of a germ of a locally defined diffeomorphism (i.e., an equivalence class of certain maps). The germs of diffeomorphisms $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ with fixed origin form a group, denoted by $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $\mathrm{Diff}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the subgroup of germs of diffeomorphisms which preserve orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $(M,\mathcal{F})$ be a foliated manifold, x, y be two points on a leaf F and Q_x, Q_y be transversal sections (diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$). To any path α from x to y in F we associate a germ of a diffeomorphism $h(\alpha) : (Q_x, x) \to (Q_y, y)$ called the holonomy of the path, for $x = y$, this is a generalization of a first return map. We obtain a homomorphism of groups $h : \pi_1(F) \to \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The image $\mathrm{Hol}(F) := h(\pi_1(F))$ is called the *holonomy group* of F. If $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally orientable then $\mathrm{Hol}(F)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Certainly, $\mathrm{Hol}(F)$ is finite when the first fundamental group, $\pi_1(F)$, is finite. Note that a foliation whose leaves are the fibers of a fibre bundle has trivial holonomy group.

Definition 1. A *foliated bundle* is a fibre bundle $p : E \to F$ admitting a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ whose leaves meet transversely all the fibers $E_x = p^{-1}(x)$ $(x \in F)$, and the bundle projection restricted to each leaf $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ is a covering map $p : F' \to F$. There is a representation $h_x : \pi_1(F, x) \to \mathrm{Diff}(E_x)$ of the first fundamental group $\pi_1(F, x)$ in the group of diffeomorphims of a fiber, called the *total holonomy homomorphism* for the foliated bundle.

Local Reeb stability Theorem (see [3, Vol. I]). *Let F be a compact leaf of a foliated manifold $(M,\mathcal{F})$ and* $\operatorname{Hol}(F)$ *is finite. Then there is a normal neighborhood* $\mathrm{pr}: V\to F$ *of F in M such that* $(V,\mathcal{F}_{|V},\mathrm{pr})$ *is a foliated bundle with all leaves compact (and transversal to the fibers). Furthermore, each leaf $F'\subset V$ has finite holonomy group of order at most the order of* $\operatorname{Hol}(F)$ *and the covering* $\mathrm{pr}_{|F'}: F'\to F$ *has k sheets, where $k\le$ order of* $\operatorname{Hol}(F)$.

In other words, for a compact leaf F with finite holonomy group, there exists a saturated neighborhood V of F in M and a diffeomorphism from $E:=\tilde F\times_{\operatorname{Hol}(F)}\mathbb{R}^n$ under which $\mathcal{F}_{|V}$ corresponds to the bundle foliation on E. Here $\tilde F$ is a covering space of F associated with $\operatorname{Hol}(F)$.

The following method construction foliations is related to local Reeb stability Theorem. Suppose that a group G acts freely and properly discontinuously on a connected manifold $\tilde F$ such that $\tilde F/G=F$. Suppose also that G acts on a manifold Q. Now form the quotient space $E:=\tilde F\times_G Q$, obtained from the product space $\tilde E:=\tilde F\times Q$ by identifying (gy,z) with (y,gz) for any $y\in\tilde F$, $g\in G$ and $z\in Q$. Thus E is the orbit space of $\tilde E$ w.r.t. a properly discontinuous action of G. It is also Hausdorff, so it is a manifold. The projection $\mathrm{pr}_1:\tilde E\to\tilde F$ induces a submersion $\mathrm{pr}: E\to F$, so we have the commutative diagram $(\tilde F\to F)\circ\mathrm{pr}_1=\mathrm{pr}\circ(\tilde E\to E)$. The map pr has the structure of a fibre bundle over F with vertical fiber Q. (Fibre bundles which can be obtained in this way are exactly those with discrete structure group). We claim that E admits also horizontal leaves, so that pr maps each leaf to F as a covering projection. Indeed, the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\tilde E$, which is given by the submersion $\mathrm{pr}_2:\tilde E\to Q$, is invariant under the action of G, and hence we obtain the quotient foliation $\mathcal{F}=\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/G$ on E. If $z\in Q$ and $G_z\subset G$ is the isotropy group at z of the action by G on Q, then the leaf of E obtained from the leaf $\tilde F\times\{z\}$ is naturally diffeomorphic to $\tilde F/G_z$, and pr restricted to this leaf is the covering $\tilde F/G_z\to F$.

2.2 D-conformal adapted variations of metrics

Let g_t be a smooth family of metrics on N and $S=\partial_t g$. Since the difference of two connections is a tensor, $\partial_t\nabla^t$ is a $(1,2)$-tensor on (M,g_t). Differentiating (21) with respect to t yields, see [11],

$$2\,g_t((\partial_t\nabla^t)(X,Y),Z)=(\nabla^t_X S)(Y,Z)+(\nabla^t_Y S)(X,Z)-(\nabla^t_Z S)(X,Y) \tag{23}$$

for all t-independent vector fields $X,Y,Z\in\Gamma(TM)$. If $S=s(g)\hat g$, for short we write

$$\partial_t g=s\,\hat g \tag{24}$$

for a certain t-dependent function s on M. In this case, the volume form $d\,\mathrm{vol}$ evolves as [4]

$$(d/dt)(d\,\mathrm{vol}_t)=(n/2)\,s_t\,d\,\mathrm{vol}_t\,. \tag{25}$$

Lemma 2. *For D-conformal adapted variations* (24) *of metrics we have*

$$\partial_t h_{\mathcal{F}}\ =\ -s\,h_{\mathcal{F}},\qquad \partial_t H_{\mathcal{F}}=-s\,H_{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{26}$$

Hence, variations (24) *of metrics preserve harmonic and totally geodesic foliations.*

Proof. Let g_t $(t\ge 0)$ be a family of metrics on $(M,\mathcal{F})$ such that $\partial_t g_t=S(g)$, where the tensor $S(g)$ is D-truncated. Using (23), we find for $X\in D$ and $\xi,\eta\in D_{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}2\,g_t(\partial_t h_{\mathcal{F}}(\xi,\eta),X)\ &=\ g_t(\partial_t(\nabla^t_\xi\,\eta)+\partial_t(\nabla^t_\eta\,\xi),\ X)=(\nabla^t_\xi S)(X,\eta)+(\nabla^t_\eta S)(X,\xi)-(\nabla^t_X S)(\xi,\eta)\\ &=\ -S(\nabla^t_\xi\,\eta,X)-S(\nabla^t_\eta\,\xi,X)=-2\,S(h_{\mathcal{F}}(\xi,\eta),X).\end{aligned}$$

Assuming $S(g)=s(g)\,\hat g$, we have $(26)_1$. Tracing this we have $(26)_2$. By the theory of ODEs, if $H_{\mathcal{F}}=0$ or $h_{\mathcal{F}}=0$ at $t=0$ then respectively $H_{\mathcal{F}}=0$ or $h_{\mathcal{F}}=0$ for all $t>0$. $\square$

The proof of the next lemma is based on (23) with $S=s\,\hat g$.

Lemma 3 (see [10] and [12]). *For D-conformal adapted variations* (24) *of metrics we have*

$$\partial_t A_N = -\frac{1}{2}\,N(s)\,\widehat{\rm id}\,, \quad \partial_t T^\sharp_N = -s\,T^\sharp_N \qquad \partial_t H = -\frac{n}{2}\nabla^{\mathcal F} s. \tag{27}$$

where $N \in D_{\mathcal F}$. Hence, variations (24) *of metrics preserve conformal foliations.*

Note that variations (24) of metrics preserve the domain U. Denote $\delta_t := \|T\|^2_{g_t} + \|h_{\mathcal F}\|^2_{g_t} > 0$.

Proposition 3 (**Conservation laws**). *Let g_t $(t \ge 0)$ be D-conformal adapted metrics* (24) *on a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal F, D)$ such that $H_0 = -n\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log u_0)$ for a positive function $u_0 \in C^\infty(M)$. Then the function β_D and the vector field $H_t - \frac{n}{4}\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log\delta_t)$ on U don't depend on t.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3 and $\hat g(H, \,\cdot\,) = 0$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|h\|^2 &= \partial_t \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{p} {\rm Tr}\,(A^2_{\varepsilon_\alpha}) = 2\sum\nolimits_\alpha {\rm Tr}\,(A_{\varepsilon_\alpha}\partial_t A_{\varepsilon_\alpha}) = -\sum\nolimits_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha(s)\,{\rm Tr}\,A_{\varepsilon_\alpha} = -g(\nabla s, H),\\ \partial_t g(H,H) &= s\,\hat g(H,H) + 2\,g(\partial_t H, H) = -n\,g(\nabla s, H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $n\,\partial_t\beta_D = \partial_t\,\|h\|^2_g - \frac{1}{n}\,\partial_t g(H,H) = 0$, that is the function β_D doesn't depend on t.

For any function $f \in C^1(M)$ and a vector $N \in D_{\mathcal F}$, using $(\partial_t g)(\cdot, N) = 0$, we find

$$g(\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\partial_t f), N) = N(\partial_t f) = \partial_t N(f) = \partial_t g(\nabla^{\mathcal F} f, N) = g(\partial_t(\nabla^{\mathcal F} f), N).$$

Hence $\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\partial_t f) = \partial_t(\nabla^{\mathcal F} f)$. By Lemma 3, we find

$$\partial_t\,\|T\|^2 = -\partial_t \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{p} {\rm Tr}\,\big((T^\sharp_{\varepsilon_\alpha})^2\big) = -2\sum\nolimits_\alpha {\rm Tr}\,(T^\sharp_{\varepsilon_\alpha}\partial_t T^\sharp_{\varepsilon_\alpha}) = 2\,s\sum\nolimits_\alpha {\rm Tr}\,\big((T^\sharp_{\varepsilon_\alpha})^2\big) = -2\,s\,\|T\|^2. \tag{28}$$

Similarly, using the proof of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\partial_t\|h_{\mathcal F}\|^2 = -2\,s\,\|h_{\mathcal F}\|^2. \tag{29}$$

By (28) and (29), the domain U is t-independent. On U we have $\partial_t(\log\delta_t) = -2\,s$. (If $T = 0$ or $h_{\mathcal F} = 0$ at $x \in M$ then respectively $\|T(x)\|_{g_t} = 0$ or $\|h_{\mathcal F}(x)\|_{g_t} = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$). For $x \in U$, using $\nabla^{\mathcal F}\partial_t = \partial_t\nabla^{\mathcal F}$, we obtain

$$\partial_t H_t = (n/4)\,\partial_t\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log\delta_t).$$

Hence the vector field $H_t - (n/4)\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log\delta_t)$ (defined on U) doesn't depend on t. ☐

2.3 Proofs of Propositions 1–2, Theorem 2 and Corollary 2

Proof. (of **Proposition 1**) Let g_t $(t \ge 0)$ be a family of D-conformal metrics on a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal F)$, i.e., $\partial_t g_t = s_t\,\hat g_t$. By Lemma 2, $\mathcal F$ is harmonic with respect to all g_t. We differentiate (22) by t, and apply $\partial_t\nabla^{\mathcal F} H = \nabla^{\mathcal F}\partial_t H$, (28), (29) and Lemma 3 to obtain

$$\partial_t{\rm Sc}_{\,\rm mix}(g_t) = -(n/2)\,\Delta_{\mathcal F}\,s + g(\nabla s, H) - 2s\,\delta_t. \tag{30}$$

Next, consider D-conformal metrics $g_\tau = g_0 + \tau s\,\hat g_0$ for small $\tau \in \mathbb R$, where $s = -2({\rm Sc}_{\,\rm mix}(g_0) - \Phi)$. By (30), the linearization of (3) at g_0 is the linear PDE on the leaves

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau{\rm Sc}_{\rm mix}(g_\tau)_{|\tau=0} &= n\,\Delta_{\mathcal F}\,{\rm Sc}_{\rm mix}(g_\tau) - 2\,g_0(\nabla\,{\rm Sc}_{\rm mix}(g_\tau), H(g_0))\\ &-\ 2\,({\rm Sc}_{\,\rm mix}(g_0) - \delta_0 - \Phi)({\rm Sc}_{\rm mix}(g_\tau) - \Phi) \end{aligned}$$

and ${\rm Sc}_{\,\rm mix}(g_0)$ is bounded. The result follows from the theory of linear parabolic PDEs (see [2]) and the finite holonomy assumption (i.e., the local Reeb stability Theorem in Section 2.1). ☐

Proof. (of **Proposition 2**) By Proposition 1, (3) admits a unit local smooth solution g_t $(0 \le t < t_0)$. The functions $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g_t), H_t$, $\|T\|_{g_t}$ and $\|h_{\mathcal F}\|_{g_t}$ etc. are then uniquely determined for $0 \le t < t_0$. From $(27)_3$ with $s = -2\,(\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g) - \Phi)$ and using (22) we obtain (7).

(**i**) By Proposition 3(ii), $H_t - (n/4)\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log\delta_t) = X$ for some vector field X on M. Since H_0 is conservative, $X = -(n/4)\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log\Psi)$ for some smooth function $\Psi > 0$ on M. Hence,

$$H_t = -n\nabla^{\mathcal F}\log\big(\Psi^{1/4}\delta_t^{-1/4}\big)$$

and $\Psi := u_0^4\,\delta_0$ by conditions. Define a smooth function $u := \Psi^{1/4}\delta_t^{-1/4}$ on $U \times [0, t_0)$ and calculate

$$\partial_t(\log\delta_t) = -4\,\partial_t\log(\delta_t^{-1/4}) = -4\,\partial_t\log(\Psi^{-1/4}u) = -4\,\partial_t(\log u).$$

From the above and (22) we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\log u) &= -(1/4)\,\partial_t(\log\delta_t) = -\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(g_t) + \Phi\\ &= n\,\Delta_{\mathcal F}(\log u) + n\,g(\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log u), \nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log u)) + n\,\beta_D + \Phi - \Psi\,u^{-4}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting

$$\partial_t(\log u) = u^{-1}\partial_t u, \quad \nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log u) = u^{-1}\nabla^{\mathcal F}u, \quad \Delta_{\mathcal F}\,(\log u) = u^{-1}\Delta_{\mathcal F}\,u - u^{-2}g(\nabla^{\mathcal F}u, \nabla^{\mathcal F}u),$$

we find that u solves the non-linear Schrödinger heat equation (8). (**ii**) See the proof in [10]. $\square$

Proof. (of **Theorem 2**) (**i**) By Proposition 1, there exists a unique local solution g_t on $M \times [0, t_0)$, and by Proposition 2(ii) there is corresponding positive function u satisfying (8) with $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$ such that $H = -n\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log u)$ and (7) holds. Note that conditions (12) yield

$$|n\lambda_0 + \Phi| \ge \max_F\,\{\delta_0(u_0/e_0)^4\}/\min_F(u_0/e_0)^4 \iff (u_0^-)^4 \ge (\Psi^+/n)/|\lambda_0 + \Phi/n|$$

that is equivalent to (36) with $\beta = \beta_D + \Phi/n > 0$. The quantities u_0^- and Ψ^+ are defined in (35).

By Theorem 3, one may leaf-wise smoothly extend a solution of (8) on $M \times [0, \infty)$, hence $H_t(x)$ is defined for all $t \ge 0$ and is smooth on the leaves.

By Theorem 4(i), $u(\,\cdot\,, t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ with the exponential rate $n\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0, 4\,|\lambda_0 + \Phi/n|\})$. Hence, the function $\delta_t^2 = \Psi\,u^{-4}$ is leaf-wise smooth, moreover, $\|T\|_{g_t} \to 0$ and $\|h_{\mathcal F}\|_{g_t} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

By Theorem 4(ii), $H_t = -n\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log u)$ approaches in C^∞, as $t \to \infty$, to the vector field $\bar H = -n\nabla^{\mathcal F}(\log e_0)$ and $\operatorname{div} H_t$ approaches to the smooth function $-n\Delta_{\mathcal F}(\log e_0)$. Recall that

$$-\Delta_{\mathcal F}\,e_0 - (\beta_D + \Phi/n)e_0 = (\lambda_0 + \Phi/n)e_0.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{div} H_t - g(H_t, H_t)/n$ approaches to $-n(\Delta_{\mathcal F}e_0)/e_0 = n\lambda_0 + n\,\beta_D$ as $t \to \infty$. Using (22), we find a smooth on the leaves function $\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(x, t)$ which approaches exponentially to $n\lambda_0$ as $t \to \infty$. The leaf-wise smooth solution to (3) is given by $g_t = g_0\exp(-2\int_0^t(\mathrm{Sc}_{\,\mathrm{mix}}(x, \tau) - \Phi)\,d\tau)$ for $t \ge 0$.

(**ii**) The smoothness of g_t on M follows from the finite holonomy assumption and results of Section 3.4 (see also [10]). Indeed, let F be a leaf. By the local Reeb stability Theorem (see Section 2.1), there is a normal neighborhood $\mathrm{pr} : V \to F$ of F (with a smooth normal section Q – an open n-dimensional disk) such that $(V, \mathcal F_{|V}, \mathrm{pr})$ is a foliated bundle diffeomorphic to $\widetilde F \times_{\mathrm{Hol}(F)} Q$. There is a regular covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}} : \widetilde F \to F$ with covering group $\mathrm{Hol}(F)$, since this group is finite, $\widetilde F$ is a compact manifold. The normal neighborhood V, as a fiber bundle over F, can be pulled back via $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}$ to a bundle $\tilde V$ over $\tilde F$ with the same fiber. The standard pull-back construction yields a canonical covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}} : \tilde V \to V$ (a submersion), enabling us to lift the foliation $\mathcal F_{|V}$ to a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal F}$ of $\tilde V$, transverse to the fibers and having $\tilde F$ as a leaf. Let $\tilde x \in \tilde F$ with $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}(\tilde x) = x$. Since the covering group is exactly the holonomy group of F, $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_* : \pi_1(\tilde F, \tilde x) \to \pi_1(F, x)$ injects $\pi_1(\tilde F, \tilde x)$ onto the subgroup of $\pi_1(F,x)$, and the leaf $\tilde F$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has trivial holonomy. By [3, Theorem 2.4.1], $\tilde F$ has a neighborhood in $\tilde V$ that is a foliated disk bundle with all leaves diffeomorphic to $\tilde F$. Let $\tilde g_t$ be the lifts of the metrics g_t from V onto the product $\tilde V$. The corresponding foliation on $\tilde V$ is harmonic, the lift of the laplacian and potential function, $\tilde\beta_D$, smoothly depend on q on $\tilde V$. (In case of totally geodesic foliation the leaves are isometric one to another, see for example [8]. Hence the leaf-wise laplacian on $\tilde V$ doesn't depend on $q \in Q$). The functions $\tilde e_0$ and solution to (8), u_t $(t > 0)$, are smooth on $\tilde V$ (where Q can be replaced by smaller normal section), and they are lifts of leaf-wise smooth functions e_0 and u_t $(t > 0)$ on V or on a smaller neighborhood W of F. The vector fields $\tilde H_t$ and $\tilde{\bar H}$ are smooth on $\tilde V$, and they are lifts of smooth vector fields H_t and $\bar H$ on a neighborhood of F. By the above, g_t are smooth on M. $\square$

Proof. (of Corollary 2) The metrics g_t of Theorem 2 diverge as $t \to \infty$ with the exponential rate $\mu = n\lambda_0 + \Phi$:

$$\exists\, C > 1,\ \forall\, X \in D,\ \forall\, t \ge 0 :\ C^{-1} e^{-\mu t} g_0(X,X) \le g_t(X,X) \le C e^{-\mu t} g_0(X,X).$$

Consider D-conformal metrics $\bar g_t = e^{-\mu t} g_t^{\perp} + \hat g$. By $(27)_3$, $\bar H_t = H_t$. The function $v = e^{\mu t} u$ converges as $t \to \infty$ to $\tilde u_0 e_0$, where $\tilde u_0 = (\tilde u, e_0)_0 = u_0^0 + \int_0^\infty q_0(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau$, see Theorem 4 and (67).

Denote $\bar\delta_t := \|T\|^2_{\bar g_t} + \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\|^2_{\bar g_t}$. We then have $v^4(\cdot,t)\bar\delta_t = \Psi$ for all t. Hence, $\bar\delta_t = e^{-2\mu t}\delta_t$ converges as $t \to \infty$ to a smooth function $u_0^4/(\tilde u_0 e_0)^4\delta_0$, and the metrics $\bar g_t$ converge as $t \to \infty$ to the metric $\bar g_\infty = (\tilde u_0 e_0/u_0)^2 g_0^{\perp} + \hat g$. By (22), $\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(\bar g_t) = \mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(g_t) - \delta_t + \bar\delta_t$ converges as $t \to \infty$ to

$$\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{mix}}(\bar g_\infty) = n\lambda_0 + u_0^4/(\tilde u_0 e_0)^4\delta_0. \quad \square$$

3 The non-linear Schrödinger heat equation

The section plays an important role in proofs of main results (see Section 2).

Let (F, g) be a closed p-dimensional Riemannian manifold, e.g., a leaf of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Functional spaces over F will be denoted without writing (F), for example, L_2 instead of $L_2(F)$.

Let H^l be the Hilbert space of differentiable by Sobolev real functions on F, with the inner product $(\,\cdot,\cdot\,)_l$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_l$. In particular, $H^0 = L_2$ with the product $(\,\cdot,\cdot\,)_0$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$.

If E is a Banach space, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_E$ the norm of vectors in this space. If B and C are real Banach spaces, we denote by $\mathcal{B}^r(B,C)$ the Banach space of all bounded r-linear operators $A : \prod_{i=1}^r B \to C$ with the norm $\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^r(B,C)} = \sup_{v_1,\dots,v_r \in B\setminus 0} \frac{\|A(v_1,\dots,v_r)\|_C}{\|v_1\|_B \cdot\ldots\cdot \|v_r\|_B}$. If $r = 1$, we shall write $\mathcal{B}(B,C)$ and $A(\cdot)$, and if $B = C$ we shall write $\mathcal{B}^r(B)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B)$, respectively.

If M is a k-regular manifold or an open neighborhood of the origin in a real Banach space, and N is a real Banach space, we denote by $C^k(M,N)$ $(k \ge 1)$ the Banach space of all C^k-regular functions $f : M \to N$, for which the following norm is finite:

$$\|f\|_{C^k(M,N)} = \sup\nolimits_{x\in M} \max\{\|f(x)\|_N,\ \max\nolimits_{1\le r\le k} \|d^r f(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}^r(T_x M,\,N)}\}.$$

Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{C^k}$, where $0 \le k < \infty$, the norm in the Banach space C^k; certainly, $\|\cdot\|_C$ when $k = 0$. In coordinates $(x_1,\dots,x_p)$ on F, we have $\|f\|_{C^k} = \max_{x\in F} \max_{|\alpha|\le k} |d^\alpha f(x)|$, where $\alpha \ge 0$ is the multi-index of order $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i$ and d^α is the partial derivative.

3.1 The linear Schrödinger heat equation

For a smooth (non-constant in general) function β on (F, g), the Schrödinger operator, see (6),

$$\mathcal{H}(u) := -\Delta u - \beta\, u \tag{31}$$

is self-adjoint and bounded from below (but it is unbounded). The domain of definition of $\mathcal{H}$ is H^2. The spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ (with fixed C) consists of an infinite sequence of isolated real eigenvalues

$\lambda_0 \le \lambda_1 \le \ldots \lambda_j \le \ldots$ counting their multiplicities, and $\lambda_j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$. If we fix in L_2 an orthonormal basis of corresponding eigenfunctions $\{e_j\}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{H}(e_j) = \lambda_j e_j$) then any function $u \in L_2$ is expanded into the series (converging to u in the L_2-norm)

$$u(x) = \sum\nolimits_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j\, e_j(x), \qquad c_j = (u, e_j)_0 = \int_F u(x)\, e_j(x)\, \mathrm{dx}\,. \tag{32}$$

The proof of (32) is based on the following facts. Since by the Elliptic regularity Theorem, we have $\mathcal{H}^{-1} : L_2 \to H^2$ when and the embedding of H^2 into L_2 is continuous and compact, see [2], then the operator $\mathcal{H}^{-1} : L_2 \to L_2$ is compact. This means that the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ is discrete, hence by the spectral expansion theorem for compact self-adjoint operators, $\{e_j\}_{j\ge 0}$ form an orthonormal basis in L_2, see [5, I, Ch. VII, Sect. 4; and II, Ch. XII, Sect. 2]. One can add a constant to β such that $\mathcal{H}$ becomes invertible in L_2 (e.g., $\lambda_0 > 0$) and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is bounded in L_2.

Proposition 4 (see [10]). *Let β be a smooth function on a closed Riemannian manifold (F, g). Then the eigenspace of operator* (31)*, corresponding to the least eigenvalue, λ_0, is one-dimensional, and it contains a positive smooth eigenfunction, e_0.*

The following facts will be used.

Sobolev embedding Theorem (see [2]). *If a nonnegative $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $2\,l > p + 2\,k$, then H^l is continuously embedded into C^k.*

Elliptic regularity Theorem (see [2]). *If $\mathcal{H}$ is given by* (31) *and $0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{H})$, then for any nonnegative $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\mathcal{H}^{-1} :\ H^k \to H^{k+2}$.*

The Cauchy's problem for the heat equation with a linear reaction term has a form

$$\partial_t u = -\mathcal{H}(u), \qquad u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \tag{33}$$

We shall use the following scalar maximum principle [4, Theorem 4.4].

Proposition 5. *Suppose that $X(t)$ is a smooth family of vector field on a closed Riemannian manifold (F, g), and $f \in C^\infty(F)$. Let $u : F \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ supersolution to*

$$\partial_t u \ge \Delta_g u + \langle X(t), \nabla u\rangle + f(u, t).$$

Suppose that $\varphi : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ solves the Cauchy's problem for ODEs $(d/dt)\varphi = f(\phi(t), t)$, $\varphi_1(0) = C$. If $u(\cdot, 0) \ge C$ then $u(\cdot, t) \ge \varphi(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. (Claim also holds with the sense of all three inequalities reversed).

3.2 Long-time solution

Consider on (F, g) the Cauchy's problem for the *non-linear Schrödinger heat equation*:

$$\partial_t u = -\mathcal{H}(u) - \Psi(x)\, u^{-3}, \qquad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \tag{34}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is defined in (31), $\beta(x) > 0$, and $\Psi(x) \ge 0$ for any $x \in F$. Note that after scaling the time (by n) and replacement $\Psi/n \to \Psi$ and $\beta_D + \Phi/n \to \beta$, (8) reduces to (34). By [2, Theorem 4.51], the parabolic PDE (34) has a unique smooth solution $u(\cdot, t)$ for $t \in [0, t_0)$ and some $t_0 > 0$.

Let $\beta(x) \ge \beta^- > 0$, hence $(\beta(x)u,\, u)_0 \ge \beta^-(u,\, u)_0$. Thus, for any $u \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{H})$ we have

$$(\mathcal{H}u,\, u)_0 = \int_F (|\nabla u(x)|^2 - \beta(x)|u(x)|^2)\, \mathrm{dx} \le \int_F (|\nabla u(x)|^2 - \beta^-|u(x)|^2)\, \mathrm{dx} = (-\Delta u - \beta^- u,\, u)_0.$$

Since β^- is the maximal eigenvalue of the linear operator $\Delta + \beta^-\,\mathrm{id}$ (id is the identity function), by the variational principle for eigenvalues, we obtain that $\lambda_0 \le -\beta^- < 0$.

Define the quantities

$$\Psi^+ = \max_{x\in F} \frac{\Psi(x)}{e_0^4(x)}, \quad \Psi^- = \min_{x\in F} \frac{\Psi(x)}{e_0^4(x)}, \quad u_0^+ = \max_{x\in F} \frac{u_0(x)}{e_0(x)}, \quad u_0^- = \min_{x\in F} \frac{u_0(x)}{e_0(x)}. \tag{35}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $u(x,t)>0$ be a solution in the cylinder $\mathcal{C}_T = F\times(0,T)$ of the Cauchy's problem (34) with the condition, see* (12),

$$(u_0^-)^4 \ge \Psi^+/|\lambda_0|. \tag{36}$$

Then the following a priori estimates are valid:

$$w_-(t) \le u(x,t)/e_0(x) \le w_+(t) \quad ((x,t)\in\mathcal{C}_T), \tag{37}$$

where

$$w_-(t) = \big(((u_0^-)^4 - \Psi^+/|\lambda_0|)e^{-4\lambda_0 t} + \Psi^+/|\lambda_0|\big)^{\frac14}, \ \ w_+(t) = \big(((u_0^+)^4 - \Psi^-/|\lambda_0|)e^{-4\lambda_0 t} + \Psi^-/|\lambda_0|\big)^{\frac14}. \tag{38}$$

Proof. Since $e_0(x)>0$ on F, we can change the unknown function in (34):

$$u(x,t) = e_0(x)w(x,t). \tag{39}$$

Substituting into (34), we find

$$e_0\partial_t w = e_0\Delta w + (\Delta e_0 + \beta(x)e_0)w + 2\,g(\nabla e_0, \nabla w) - e_0^{-3}(x)\Psi(x)w^{-3}.$$

Since $\Delta e_0 + \beta(x)e_0 = -\lambda_0 e_0$, we obtain the following Cauchy's problem for $w(x,t)$:

$$\partial_t w = \Delta w - \lambda_0 w + 2\,g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla w) - e_0^{-4}(x)\Psi(x)w^{-3}, \quad w(\cdot,0) = u_0/e_0. \tag{40}$$

Let $w(x,t)$ be a positive solution of the Cauchy's problem (40) in a cylinder $\mathcal{C}_T$. Then, using (35a,b), we obtain the differential inequalities for $(x,t)\in\mathcal{C}_T$:

$$\Delta w - \lambda_0 w + 2\,g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla w) - \Psi^+ w^{-3} \le \partial_t w \le \Delta w - \lambda_0 w + 2\,g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla w) - \Psi^- w^{-3}\,.$$

Using the scalar maximum principle of Proposition 5 and (35c,d), we conclude that

$$w_-(t) \le w(x,t) \le w_+(t) \quad \text{for any} \quad (x,t)\in\mathcal{C}_T,$$

where $w_-(t)$ and $w_+(t)$ are the solutions of the following Cauchy's problems for ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} (d/dt)w_- &= -\lambda_0\, w_- - \Psi^+ w_-^{-3}, \qquad & w_-(0) &= u_0^-\,,\\ (d/dt)w_+ &= -\lambda_0\, w_+ - \Psi^- w_+^{-3}, \qquad & w_+(0) &= u_0^+\,. \end{aligned}$$

One may check that these solutions are expressed by (38). Note that if $\Psi\equiv 0$ then (37) reads as $u_0^- e^{-\lambda_0 t} \le u(x,t)/e_0(x) \le u_0^+ e^{-\lambda_0 t}$. □

It will be convenient for us to change the unknown function in (34):

$$v(x,t) = e^{\lambda_0 t}u(x,t). \tag{41}$$

Then we obtain the following Cauchy's problem for $v(x,t)$:

$$\partial_t v = -(\mathcal{H} - \lambda_0\,\mathrm{id}\,)v - \Psi v^{-3}e^{4\lambda_0 t}, \quad v(x,0) = u_0(x). \tag{42}$$

Using (41), (37) and (38), we estimate the solution $v(x,t)$ of this problem when (36) holds:

$$v_- \le v(x,t)/e_0(x) \le v_+ \quad ((x,t)\in F\times[0,\infty)), \tag{43}$$

where the constants are given by

$$v_- = \big((u_0^-)^4 - \Psi^+/|\lambda_0|\big)^{\frac14}, \quad v_+ = u_0^+, \tag{44}$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\beta(x) > 0, \Psi(x) \geq 0$, $u_0(x) > 0$ belong to $C^\infty(F)$ and* (36) *is satisfied. Then*

(i) for the partial derivatives by x of a positive solution $v(x,t)$ of (42) the estimates are valid: for any multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p)$ there exists $C_\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha v(x,t)| \leq C_\alpha (1+t)^{|\alpha|} \quad \text{for any } (x,t) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty = F \times [0,\infty);$$

(ii) for the partial derivatives by x of the function $Q(x,t) = -\Psi(x) v^{-3}(x,t) e^{4\lambda_0 t}$ we have the estimates: for any multi-index α there exists $\bar{Q}_\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha Q(x,t)| \leq \bar{Q}_\alpha (1+t)^{|\alpha|} e^{4\lambda_0 t} \quad \text{for any } (x,t) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty. \tag{45}$$

Proof. (**i**) Denote for the sake of brevity $D_j = \partial_{x_j}$ $(j = 1, 2, \dots, p)$, $D_\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha = D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_p}$. Differentiating both sides of (42) by the variables $x_1, \dots, x_p$, we obtain the following equations for the functions $p_\alpha(x,t) := \partial_x^\alpha v(x,t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t p_j &= -(\mathcal{H} - \lambda_0 \,\mathrm{id}\,) p_j + a(x,t) p_j + b_j(x,t) + c_j(x,t) e^{4\lambda_0 t} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, p), & (46)\\ \partial_t p_{jk} &= -(\mathcal{H} - \lambda_0 \,\mathrm{id}\,) p_{jk} + a(x,t) p_{jk} + b_{jk}(x,t) + c_{jk}(x,t) e^{4\lambda_0 t} \quad (j,k = 1, 2, \dots, p) & (47)\end{aligned}$$

and so on, where

$$\begin{aligned} a(x,t) &= 3\,\frac{\Psi}{v^4}\, e^{4\lambda_0 t}, \qquad b_j(x,t) = D_j(\beta) v, & (48)\\ c_j(x,t) &= -D_j(\Psi)\, v^{-3}, \qquad b_{jk}(x,t) = D_j(\beta) p_k + D_{jk}(\beta) v, & (49)\\ c_{jk}(x,t) &= 3\, D_k(\Psi) v^{-4}\, p_j + 3\, D_j(\Psi) v^{-4}\, p_k - D_{jk}(\Psi) v^{-3} - 12\, \Psi v^{-5}\, p_j\, p_k & (50)\end{aligned}$$

and so on. Let us make the change of unknown functions in equations (46), (47) and so on:

$$p_j = \tilde{p}_j\, e_0, \quad p_{jk} = \tilde{p}_{jk}\, e_0 \tag{51}$$

and so on. Then in the same manner, as (40) have been obtained from (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{p}_j &= \Delta \tilde{p}_j + 2\, g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla \tilde{p}_j) + a \tilde{p}_j + b_j/e_0 + (c_j/e_0)\, e^{4\lambda_0 t} \qquad (j = 1, 2, \dots, p), & (52)\\ \partial_t \tilde{p}_{jk} &= \Delta \tilde{p}_{jk} + 2\, g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla \tilde{p}_{jk}) + a \tilde{p}_{jk} + b_{jk}/e_0 + (c_{jk}/e_0)\, e^{4\lambda_0 t} \quad (j,k = 1, 2, \dots, p) & (53)\end{aligned}$$

and so on. From (48), (43) and (51)–(52) we get the differential inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta \tilde{p}_j + 2\, g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla \tilde{p}_j) - a^+ |\tilde{p}_j| - b_j^+ - \tilde{b}_j^+ e^{4\lambda_0 t} \leq \\ &\qquad \leq \partial_t \tilde{p}_j \leq \Delta \tilde{p}_j + 2\, g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla \tilde{p}_j) + a^+ |\tilde{p}_j| + b_j^+ + c_j^+ e^{4\lambda_0 t}\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, where

$$a^+ = 3\Psi^+ v_-^{-4} e^{4\lambda_0 t}, \quad b_j^+ = \beta_j^+ v_+, \quad c_j^+ = \Psi_j^+ v_-^{-3}, \quad \beta_j^+ = \max_F |D_j \beta|, \quad \Psi_j^+ = \max_F (|D_j \Psi| e_0^{-4}). \tag{54}$$

Then by the maximum principle of Proposition 5 the estimate is valid: $|\tilde{p}_j(x,t)| \leq \tilde{p}_j^+(t)$ for any $(x,t) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$, where $p_j^+(t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy's problem for the ODE:

$$(d/dt)\, p_j^+ = a^+(t) |p_j^+| + b_j^+ + \tilde{b}_j^+ e^{4\lambda_0 t}, \quad p_j^+(0) = \bar{p}_j^0 \quad (\text{with} \quad \bar{p}_j^0 = \max{}_F\, |\tilde{p}_j(\cdot, 0)|\,).$$

As is known,

$$p_j^+(t) = \bar{p}_j^0 \exp\big(\int_0^t a^+(\tau)\, \mathrm{d}\tau\big) + \int_0^t \exp\big(\int_s^t a^+(\tau)\, \mathrm{d}\tau\big)\big(b_j^+ + c_j^+ e^{4\lambda_0 s}\big)\, \mathrm{ds}$$

Since in view of $(54)_1$

$$\int_0^\infty a^+(\tau)\, \mathrm{d}\tau < \infty, \tag{55}$$

these circumstances mean that for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ there exists $\tilde{C}_j > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{p}_j(x,t)| \le \tilde{C}_j(1+t) \quad ((x,t) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty), \tag{56}$$

hence, in view of (51), we obtain claim (i) for $|\alpha| = 1$.

Similarly we obtain from (53), (50), (51), (43) and (56) the differential inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\tilde{p}_{jk} + 2\,g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla\tilde{p}_{jk}) - a^+(t)|\tilde{p}_{jk}| - b^+_{jk}(t) - c^+_{jk}(t)e^{4\lambda_0 t} \le \partial_t\tilde{p}_{jk}\\ &\quad\le \Delta\tilde{p}_{jk} + 2\,g(\nabla(\log e_0), \nabla\tilde{p}_{jk}) + a^+(t)|\tilde{p}_{jk}| + b^+_{jk}(t) + c^+_{jk}(t)e^{4\lambda_0 t} \quad (j,k = 1,2,\dots,p),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$b^+_{jk}(t) \;=\; \tilde{C}_j\beta^+_j(1+t) + \beta^+_{jk}v_+, \quad \beta_{jk} = \max{}_F\,|D_{jk}\beta|, \quad \Psi^+_{jk} = \max{}_F\,|D_{jk}\Psi|e_0^{-4}, \tag{57}$$

$$c^+_{jk}(t) \;=\; 3\big(\tilde{C}_j\Psi^+_k v_-^{-4} + \tilde{C}_k\Psi^+_j v_-^{-4}\big)(1+t) + 12\,\tilde{C}_j\tilde{C}_k\Psi^+ v_-^{-5}(1+t)^2 + \Psi^+_{jk}v_-^{-3}. \tag{58}$$

Using again the maximum principle, we get the estimate for any $(x,t) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$:

$$|p_{jk}(x,t)| \le \bar{p}^0_{jk}\exp\Big(\int_0^t a^+(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big) + \int_0^t \exp\Big(\int_s^t a^+(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big)\big(b^+_{jk}(s) + c^+_{jk}(s)e^{4\lambda_0 s}\big)\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

where $\bar{p}^0_{jk} = \max{}_F\,|p_{jk}(\cdot,t)|$. Then (55), (57) and the fact that by (58), $\int_0^\infty \tilde{b}^+_{jk}(s)e^{4\lambda_0 s}\,\mathrm{d}s < \infty$ imply that for any $j,k \in \{1,2,\dots,p\}$ there exists $\tilde{C}_{jk} \ge 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{p}_{jk}(x,t)| \le \tilde{C}_{jk}(1+t)^2, \qquad (x,t) \in \mathcal{C}_\infty.$$

Hence, in view of (51), we obtain claim (i) for $|\alpha| = 2$. By induction we can prove (i) for any $|\alpha|$.

(ii) Estimate (45) for $|\alpha| = 0$,

$$|Q(x,t)| \le (\max{}_F\,\Psi)v_-^{-3}e^{4\lambda_0 t}, \tag{59}$$

follows immediately from (43). Estimate (45) for $|\alpha| = 1$ and $|\alpha| = 2$ follows from claim (i), estimates (43) and equalities

$$\begin{aligned}D_j(Q) &= \big(3\,\Psi v^{-4}\,D_j(v) - D_j(\Psi)v^{-3}\big)e^{4\lambda_0 t},\\ D_{jk}(Q) &= \big(3\,\Psi v^{-4}\,D_{jk}(v) - D_{jk}(\Psi)v^{-3} + 3\,D_k(\Psi)v^{-4}D_j(v) + 3\,D_j(\Psi)v^{-4}D_k(v)\\ &- 12\,\Psi v^{-5}\,D_j(v)\,D_k(v)\big)e^{4\lambda_0 t}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $|\alpha|$ claim (ii) can be proved by induction. □

Theorem 3. *The Cauchy's problem (34) with the condition* (36) *admits a unique smooth solution* $u(x,t) > 0$ *in the cylinder* $\mathcal{C}_\infty = F \times (0,\infty)$.

Proof. The positive solution $u(x,t)$ of the Cauchy's problem (34) satisfies a priory estimates (37) on any cylinder $\mathcal{C}_{t_\star}$ where it exists. Then by the standard arguments, using the local theorem of the existence and uniqueness for semi-flows, we obtain that this solution can be uniquely prolonged on the whole cylinder $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. Then, by Lemma 5, all partial derivatives by x of $u(x,t)$ exist in $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. Hence, u is smooth on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. □

3.3 Asymptotic behavior of solutions

Theorem 4. *Let $u > 0$ be a smooth solution on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ of the Cauchy's problem (34), see Theorem 3, and* (36) *is satisfied. Then there exists a positive solution $\tilde{u}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ of the linear PDE*

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} = \Delta\tilde{u} + (\beta(x) + \lambda_0)\tilde{u} \tag{60}$$

such that for any $\alpha \in \big(0, \min\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0,\, 4\,|\lambda_0|\}\big)$

(i) *$u = e^{-\lambda_0 t}(\tilde{u} + \theta(x,t))$, where $\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{C^k} = O(e^{-\alpha t})$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*

(ii) *$\nabla(\log u) = \nabla(\log e_0) + \theta_1(x,t)$, where $\|\theta_1(\cdot,t)\|_{C^k} = O(e^{-\alpha t})$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. (**i**) Let $G_0(t,x,y)$ be the fundamental solution of (60) (called the heat kernel). As is known,

$$G_0(t,x,y) = \sum\nolimits_j e^{-\mu_j t} e_j(x)\, e_j(y), \tag{61}$$

where $\mu_j := \lambda_j - \lambda_0$. Due to the Duhamel's principle, the solution $v = e^{\lambda_0 t} u$, see (41), of the Cauchy's problem (42) satisfies the nonlinear integral equation

$$v(x,t) = \int_F G_0(t,x,y) u_0(y)\,\mathrm{dy} + \int_0^t \Big(\int_F G_0(t-\tau,x,y) Q(y,\tau)\,\mathrm{dy}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau, \tag{62}$$

where Q is defined in Lemma 5. One may expand the functions v, u_0 and Q into Fourier series by the eigenfunctions e_j:

$$v(x,t) \;=\; \sum\nolimits_{j=0}^\infty v_j(t) e_j(x), \quad v_j(t) = (v(\cdot,t), e_j)_0 = \int_F v(y,t) e_j(y)\,\mathrm{dy}, \tag{63}$$

$$u_0(x) \;=\; \sum\nolimits_{j=0}^\infty u_j^0 e_j(x), \qquad u_j^0 = (u_0, e_j)_0 = \int_F u_0(y) e_j(y)\,\mathrm{dy}, \tag{64}$$

$$Q(x,t) \;=\; \sum\nolimits_{j=0}^\infty q_j(t) e_j(x), \quad q_j(t) = (Q(\cdot,t), e_j)_0 = \int_F Q(y,t) e_j(y)\,\mathrm{dy}\,. \tag{65}$$

Then we obtain from (61), (62):

$$v_j(t) = u_j^0 e^{-\mu_j t} + \int_0^t e^{-\mu_j(t-\tau)} q_j(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots). \tag{66}$$

In view of (43) and (35), we have

$$\int_0^\infty |q_0(\tau)|\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le \int_0^\infty (Q(\cdot,\tau), e_0)_0\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le \frac{\Psi^+}{v_-^3}\int_0^\infty e^{-4\beta\tau}\,\mathrm{d}\tau < \infty.$$

Substituting $v_j(t)$ of (66) into (63), we represent v in the form $v = \tilde{u} + \theta$, where

$$\tilde{u} \;=\; \tilde{u}_0 e_0 + \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty u_j^0 e^{-\mu_j t} e_j, \quad \tilde{u}_0 = u_0^0 + \int_0^\infty q_0(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau, \tag{67}$$

$$\theta \;=\; -\Big(\int_t^\infty q_0(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big) e_0 + \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty \tilde{v}_j(t) e_j, \qquad \tilde{v}_j(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\mu_j(t-\tau)} q_j(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau. \tag{68}$$

Recall that $\mu_0 = 0$. Observe that $\tilde{u}$ is a solution of (60) with the initial condition

$$\tilde{u}(\,\cdot\,,0) = u_0 + \Big(\int_0^\infty q_0(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big) e_0.$$

Let us estimate the terms of (67) and (68). By (43) and (35), we have

$$\Big|\int_t^\infty q_0(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big| \le \int_t^\infty (|Q(\cdot,\tau)|, e_0)_0\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le \frac{\Psi^+}{v_-^3}\int_t^\infty e^{4\lambda_0\tau}\,\mathrm{d}\tau = \frac{\Psi^+}{4\,|\lambda_0| v_-^3}\, e^{4\lambda_0 t}. \tag{69}$$

Let us take $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $l = \big[p/4 + k/2\big] + 1$ and $\gamma < \lambda_0$. Using assumption $u_0 \in C^\infty(F)$ and the fact that $Q(\cdot, t) \in C^\infty(F)$ for any $t \ge 0$, we may consider the functions

$$w_0 := (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^l u_0, \qquad P(\cdot, t) := (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^l Q(\cdot, t),$$

which have the same properties: $w_0 \in C^\infty(F)$ and $P(\cdot, t) \in C^\infty(F)$ for any $t \ge 0$. Let us represent:

$$\begin{aligned}(u_0, e_j)_0\, e_j &= ((\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l} w_0, e_j)_0\, e_j = (w_0, (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l} e_j)_0\, e_j \\ &= (w_0, e_j)_0 \frac{e_j}{\lambda_j - \gamma} = (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\big((w_0, e_j)_0\, e_j\big).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $(Q(\cdot, t), e_j)_0\, e_j = (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\big((P(\cdot, t), e_j)_0\, e_j\big)$. Then, using (68) and taking into account that the operator $(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}$ acts continuously in $L_2(F)$ and that the series in (67) and (68) converge in $L_2(F)$, we obtain the representations:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty u_j^0 e^{-\mu_j t} e_j &= (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l} \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_j t} (w_0, e_j)_0\, e_j, \\ \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty \tilde{v}_j(t) e_j &= (\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l} \int_0^t \big(\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_j (t-\tau)} (P(\cdot, t), e_j)_0\, e_j\big)\, \mathrm{d}\tau.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Elliptic Regularity Theorem and the Sobolev Embedding Theorem (see Section 3.1), the operator $(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}$ acts continuously from $L_2(F)$ into $C^k(F)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty u_j^0 e^{-\mu_j t} e_j\|_{C^k} \le \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)} \cdot \|\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_j t} (w_0, e_j)_0\, e_j\|_0 \\ &= \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)} \sqrt{\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-2\mu_j t} (w_0, e_j)_0^2} \le \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)}\, e^{-\mu_1 t} \|w_0\|_0, \quad (70)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty \tilde{v}_j(t) e_j\|_{C^k} \le \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)} \cdot \|\int_0^t \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_j (t-\tau)} (P(\cdot, t), e_j)_0\, e_j\, \mathrm{d}\tau\|_0 \\ &\le \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)} \int_0^t \|\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_j (t-\tau)} (P(\cdot, t), e_j)_0\, e_j\|_0\, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\le \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)} \int_0^t \sqrt{\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^\infty e^{-2\mu_j (t-\tau)} (P(\cdot, t), e_j)_0^2}\, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\le \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^{-l}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2, C^k)} \int_0^t e^{-\mu_1 (t-\tau)} \|P(\cdot, t)\|_0\, \mathrm{d}\tau. \quad (71)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5(ii),

$$\|P(\cdot, t)\|_0 \le \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(F, g)}\, \|(\mathcal{H} - \gamma \,\mathrm{id}\,)^l \big(Q(\cdot, t)\big)\|_{C^0} \le \bar{Q}(1+t)^{2l} e^{4\lambda_0 t}$$

for some $\bar{Q} \ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t e^{-\mu_1 (t-\tau)} \|P(\cdot, t)\|_0\, \mathrm{d}\tau \le \bar{Q} \int_0^t e^{-\mu_1 (t-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{2l} e^{4\lambda_0 \tau}\, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &< \bar{Q} e^{-\mu_1 t} (1+t)^{2l} \int_0^t e^{(\mu_1 + 4\lambda_0)\,\tau}\, \mathrm{d}\tau = \bar{Q}(1+t)^{2l} \times \begin{cases} \frac{e^{4\lambda_0 t} - e^{-\mu_1 t}}{\mu_1 + 4\,\lambda_0} & \text{if} \quad 4\lambda_0 \ne -\mu_1, \\ e^{-\mu_1 t} t & \text{if} \quad 4\lambda_0 = -\mu_1. \end{cases} \quad (72)\end{aligned}$$

From (67) – (72) we get that claim (**i**) is valid.

(**ii**) Recall that $\tilde{u}_0 = (\tilde{u}, e_0)_0 = u_0^0 + \int_0^\infty q_0(\tau)\, \mathrm{d}\tau$. From (41), (67), (68) and (70) – (72) we obtain

$$u = e^{-\lambda_0 t}\big(\tilde{u}_0\, e_0(x) + \bar{\theta}(x, t)\big),$$

where $\|\bar{\theta}(\cdot, t)\|_{C^k} = O(e^{-\alpha t})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and α is the same as in claim (**i**). Hence

$$\nabla u = e^{-\lambda_0 t}\big(\tilde{u}_0 \nabla e_0(x) + \nabla \bar{\theta}(x, t)\big).$$

Furthermore, in view of (41), (64) and (65), condition (36) yields $\tilde u_0\ne 0$. To show this, we calculate, using definition (37) of w_- and condition (36),

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_0 t} w_-^{-3}(t)\,\mathrm{dt} = \frac{u_0^-}{\Psi^+} - \frac{(u_0^-)^4-\Psi^+/|\lambda_0|}{\Psi^+} \le \frac{u_0^-}{\Psi^+}.$$

Using $\Psi\, u^{-3}e_0 = (\Psi/e_0^4)(e_0/u)^3(e_0/u_0)u_0e_0$ and $\Psi/e_0^4\le\Psi^+$, $e_0/u\le 1/w_-$, $e_0/u_0\le 1/u_0^-$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_0 t}\big(\int_F \Psi(x)u^{-3}(x,t)e_0(x)\,\mathrm{dx}\big)\,\mathrm{dt} \le \frac{\Psi^+}{u_0^-}\,(u_0,\,e_0)_0\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_0 t}(w_-)^{-3}(t)\,\mathrm{dt}\le (u_0,\,e_0)_0. \tag{73}$$

Using $w(x,t,\tau) := \tau u(x,t) + (1-\tau)\tilde u_0 e^{-\lambda_0 t}e_0(x) = e^{-\lambda_0 t}(\tilde u_0 e_0(x)+\tau\,\bar\theta(x,t))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla(\log u(x,t)) - \nabla(\log e_0(x)) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\Big(\nabla(\log w(x,t,\tau))\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &= \int_0^1\Big(\frac{\nabla\bar\theta(x,t)}{\tilde u_0e_0(x)+\tau\,\bar\theta(x,t)} - \frac{\bar\theta(x,t)(\tilde u_0\nabla e_0(x)+\tau\nabla\bar\theta(x,t))}{(\tilde u_0 e_0(x)+\tau\,\bar\theta(x,t))^2}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,.\end{aligned}$$

By the above, and the fact that

$$\inf\big\{|\tilde u_0 e_0(x)+\tau\,\bar\theta(x,t)|:\ x\in F,\ t\in[t_0,\infty),\ \tau\in[0,1]\big\}>0$$

for $t_0>0$ large enough, follows claim (**ii**). ☐

Remark 2. For the extremal case of equality in (36) we have

$$u_0 = u_0^- e_0(x),\quad u = w_0(t)e_0(x),\quad \Psi = \Psi^+ e_0^4(x).$$

From (31) we obtain $e_0=\mathrm{const}$, hence $\beta=\mathrm{const}$ on F. Finally, u is a function of t.

3.4 Nonlinear heat equation with parameter

In this section the metric g, the connection ∇ and the laplacian Δ smoothly depend on q which belongs to an open subset Q of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the Cauchy's problem on a closed Riemannian manifold (F,g):

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + f(x,t,u,q),\quad u(x,0,q)=u_0(x,q). \tag{74}$$

Here the function f is defined in the domain $D=F\times[0,T]\times I\times Q$, where $I\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ is an interval, and u_0 is defined in the domain $\tilde D = F\times Q$ and satisfies the condition: $u_0(x,q)\in I$ for any $x\in F$ and $q\in Q$.

The next proposition is standard, for the convenience of a reader we prove it.

Proposition 6. *Suppose that $f\in C^\infty(D)$, $u_0\in C^\infty(\tilde D)$, all partial derivatives of f and u_0 by x, u and q are bounded in D and $\tilde D$, and for any $q\in Q$ there exists an unique solution $u: F\times[0,T]\times Q\to\mathbb{R}$ of the Cauchy's problem (74) such that all its partial derivatives by x are bounded in $F\times[0,T]\times Q$. Then $u(\cdot,t,\cdot)\in C^\infty(F\times Q)$ for any $t\in[0,T]$.*

Proof. As is known, $u(\cdot,t,q)\in C^\infty(F)$ for any $q\in Q$, $t\in[0,T]$. We should prove the smooth dependence on q of the solution $u(x,t,q)$ and of all its partial derivatives by x for any fixed $t\in[0,T]$. We shall divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1: the continuous dependence of $u(x,t,q)$ in q. To show this, take $q_0\in Q$ and denote $v(x,t,q)=u(x,t,q)-u(x,t,q_0)$ and $v_0(x,q)=u_0(x,q)-u_0(x,q_0)$. Let us represent:

$$f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q) - f(x,t,u(x,t,q_0),q_0) = F(x,t,q)v(x,t,q) + G(x,t,q)\cdot(q-q_0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x,t,q) &= \int_0^1 \partial_u f(x,t,\, u(x,t,q_0)+\tau v(x,t,q),\, q_0+\tau(q-q_0))\,\mathrm{d}\tau,\\
G(x,t,q) &= \int_0^1 \nabla_q f(x,t,\, u(x,t,q_0)+\tau v(x,t,q),\, q_0+\tau(q-q_0))\,\mathrm{d}\tau,\\
G_0(x,q) &= \int_0^1 \nabla_q v_0(x,\, q_0+\tau(q-q_0))\,\mathrm{d}\tau, \quad v_0(x,q) = G_0(x,q)\cdot(q-q_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the function $v(x,t,q)$ is a solution of the Cauchy's problem:

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + F(x,t,q)v + G(x,t,q)\cdot(q-q_0), \quad v_{\,|\,t=0} = G_0(x,q)\cdot(q-q_0). \tag{75}$$

Then by the maximum principle of Proposition 5,

$$|v(x,t,q)| \le w(t,q) \qquad \forall\,(x,t,q)\in F\times[0,T]\times Q, \tag{76}$$

where $w(t,q)$ is the solution of the following Cauchy's problem for the ODE:

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = \bar F|w| + \bar G\,|q-q_0|, \quad w(0,q) = \bar G_0\,|q-q_0| \tag{77}$$

with

$$\bar F = \sup_{(x,t,q)\in F\times[0,T]\times Q}|F(x,t,q)|, \quad \bar G = \sup_{(x,t,q)\in F\times[0,T]\times Q}|G(x,t,q)|, \quad \bar G_0 = \sup_{(x,q)\in F\times Q}|G_0(x,q)|.$$

Then we get from (76) and (77) the estimate

$$|v(x,t,q)| \le \big(\bar G_0 e^{\bar F t} + (e^{\bar F t}-1)(\bar G/\bar F)\big)|q-q_0|, \qquad (x,t,q)\in F\times[0,T]\times Q,$$

which implies the claim of Step 1.

Step 2: all the partial derivatives of $u(x,t,q)$ by x depend continuously on q. Differentiating subsequently by x both sides of the equation and of the initial condition in (74), we have the following Cauchy's problems for $p_\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha u$ (α is the multi-index):

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t p_i &= \Delta p_i + \partial_u f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q)p_i + \partial_x^i f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q), \quad p_{i\,|\,t=0} = \partial_x^i u_0(x,q), \qquad (78)\\
\partial_t p_{i,j} &= \Delta p_{i,j} + \partial_u f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q)p_{i,j} + \partial_u^2 f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q)p_i p_j\\
&+\ \partial_u\partial_x^i f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q)p_j + \partial_x^{i,j} f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q), \quad p_{i,j\,|\,t=0} = \partial_x^{i,j} u_0(x,q) \qquad (79)
\end{aligned}$$

and so on. Applying the claim of Step 1 to these Cauchy's problems, we prove the claim of Step 2.

Step 3: $u(x,t,q)$ is smooth with respect to q. Take $q_0\in Q$ and consider the divided difference

$$\delta_{\mathbf y}(x,t,s) = \frac{u(x,t,q_0+s\mathbf y)-u(x,t,q_0)}{s} \quad (\mathbf y\in\mathbb R^n,\ s\in\mathbb R).$$

Denote $\delta^0_{\mathbf y}(x,s) = \frac{u_0(x,q_0+s\mathbf y)-u_0(x,q_0)}{s}$. In the same manner as in the proof of Step 1, we obtain the following Cauchy's problem for $\delta_{\mathbf y}(x,t,s)$:

$$\partial_t\delta_{\mathbf y} = \Delta\delta_{\mathbf y} + \tilde F(x,t,s)\delta_{\mathbf y} + \tilde G(x,t,s)\cdot\mathbf y, \quad \delta_{\mathbf y\,|\,t=0} = \delta^0_{\mathbf y}(x,s), \tag{80}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde F(x,t,s) &= \int_0^1 \partial_u f(x,t,u(x,t,q_0)+\tau(u(x,t,q_0+s\mathbf y)-u(x,t,q_0))),\ q_0+s\mathbf y)\,\mathrm{d}\tau,\\
\tilde G(x,t,s) &= \int_0^1 \nabla_q f(x,t,u(x,t,q_0)+\tau(u(x,t,q_0+s\mathbf y)-u(x,t,q_0))),\ q_0+s\mathbf y)\,\mathrm{d}\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying to the Cauchy's problem (80) the claim of Step 1, we conclude that $\delta_{\mathbf{y}}(x,t,s)$ is continuous by s at the point $s=0$, that is there exists the directional derivative

$$d_{\mathbf{y}}(x,t,q_0)=\nabla_q u(x,t,q_0)\cdot\mathbf{y}=\lim{}_{s\to 0}\,\delta_{\mathbf{y}}(x,t,s).$$

Moreover, we see that $d_{\mathbf{y}}(x,t,q)$ is the solution of the Cauchy's problem

$$\partial_t d_{\mathbf{y}}=\Delta d_{\mathbf{y}}+\partial_u f(x,t,u(x,t,q),q)d_{\mathbf{y}}+\nabla_q f(x,t,u(x,t,q)\cdot\mathbf{y},\quad d_{\mathbf{y}\,|\,t=0}=\nabla_q u_0(x,q)\cdot\mathbf{y}. \tag{81}$$

Applying again the claim of Step 1 to this Cauchy's problem, we find that $d_{\mathbf{y}}(x,t,q)=\nabla_q u(x,t,q)\cdot\mathbf{y}$ continuously depends on q for any direction $\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n$. This means that $u(x,t,q)$ is C^1-regular in q. Applying the above arguments to the Cauchy's problem (81), we obtain that $u(x,t,q)$ belongs to C^2 with respect to q. Finally, we prove by induction that $u(x,t,q)$ is smooth in q.

Step 4. Applying all the arguments of Step 3 to the Cauchy's problems (78), (79) and so on, we prove that all derivatives of $u(x,t,q)$ in x smoothly depend on q. □

References

[1] M. Ashbaugh: The Fundamental Gap, in: "Low eigenvalues of Laplace and Schrödinger operators", ARCC Workshop, Palo Alto, California, May 22–26, 2006.

[2] T. Aubin: *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer, 1998.

[3] A. Candel and L. Conlon: *Foliations, I, II*, AMS, Providence, 2000.

[4] B. Chow and D. Knopf: *The Ricci Flow: An Introduction*, AMS, 2004.

[5] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz: *Linear Operators, Parts 1, 2.* Intersc. Publ., New York, 1963.

[6] W. Kirsch, B. Simon: Approach to equilibrium for a forced Burgers equation. J. Evol. Equ. vol. 1, No. 4 (2001), 411–419.

[7] R. Ponge and H. Reckziegel: Twisted products in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, Geom. Dedicata 48 (1993), 15–25.

[8] V. Rovenski: *Foliations on Riemannian Manifolds and Submanifolds*, Birkhäuser, 1998.

[9] V. Rovenski: Extrinsic geometric flows on codimension-one foliations, J. of Geom. Analysis, 2012, DOI 10.1007/s12220-012-9297-1.

[10] V. Rovenski and L. Zelenko: *Prescribing the positive mixed scalar curvature of totally geodesic foliations*, to be published in Proc. Foliations-2012, Lodz, Poland, 2013. (see arXiv:1203.6361).

[11] V. Rovenski and P. Walczak: *Topics in Extrinsic Geometry of Codimension-One Foliations*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[12] V. Rovenski and R. Wolak: *Deforming metrics of foliations*, CEJM, 13, No. 2, (2013), 18 pp.

[13] N. Smaoui: Analyzing the dynamics of the forced Burgers equation. J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal. 13 (2000), no. 3, 269–285.

[14] I. Vaisman: Conformal foliations, Kodai Math. J. 2 (1979), 26–37.

[15] P. Walczak: An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions. Colloq. Math. 58 (1990), 243–252.